Case 3:10-cv-00018-DWD Document 62 Filed 11/19/10 Page 1 of 2

CIVIL JURY TRIAL MINUTE SHEET DATE: November 19, 200	
United States District Court	Eastern District of Virginia - Richmond Division
CASE TITLE DELOVA Marlow V.	JUDGE: DOTOR
Chasterfield County Public Schools,	COURT REPORTER: TOWN FITTERICA (Vanelis) TOWN
MATTER COMES ON FOR: JURY TRIAL ()	MOTIONS BEFORE TRIAL
TRIAL PROCEEDINGS: JURY: APPEARED, SWORN, EXAMINED ON VOIR DIRE () EMPANELED, SWORN TO TRY ISSUE () GLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT RICHMOND, VA	
WITNESSES EXCLUDED ON MOTION OF: PLAINTIFF(S)() DEFENDANT(S)() COURT()	
OPENING STATEMENTS MADE () OPENING WAIVED ()	
PLAINTIFF(S) ADDUCED EVIDENCE () RESTED () MOTION ()	
DEFENDANT(S) ADDUCED EVIDENCE () RESTED () MOTION ()	
REBUTTAL EVIDENCE ADDUCED () SUR-REBUTTAL EVIDENCE ADDUCED ()	
EVIDENCE CONCLUDED () ARGUN	MENTS OF COUNSEL HEARD ()
JURY CHARGED BY THE COURT () ALTER	NATE JUROR(S) DISCHARGED ()
OBJECTIONS AND/OR EXCEPTIONS TO THE JURY DEFENDANT () GOVERNMENT () NON	CHARGE NOTED BY * JURY OUT: 9:05 E NOTED () ** JURY IN: 4:30
INQUIRIES OF THE JURY RECEIVED; ANSWERED (ADDITIONAL CHARGE ()	
JURY RETURNED VERDICT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF(S) () MONETARY AWARD \$	
JURY RETURNED VERDICT IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANT(S) (
JURY UNABLE TO AGREE () MISTRIAL DECLARED () JURY DISCHARGED (
CLERK TO ENTER JUDGMENT ON VERDICT () TRIAL EXHIBITS RETURNED TO COUNSEL ()	
CASE CONTINUED UNTIL ATM. FOR	
MOTION(S) AFTER VERDICT:	
Counsel for the Plaintiff(s): Craig J. Curwood, Esq. Culter D. Seltzer, Esq. Counsel for the Defendant(s): Mohael S. J. Cherrace, Esq. Stylian P. Parthernos, Esq.	
SET: 9:00 BEGAN: 9:02 VOIR DIRE: ENDED: 4:40 TIME IN COURT: 7:38	

RECESSES:

Mr. Bullis was not presented by either side as a witness, yet he was discussed and was a key factor regarding Ms. Marlow's claim of discrimination.

Does his absence reflect or can we as a jurif consider his absence as having any bearing either positive or negative on Ms Marlow's Claims regarding age discrimination

Would you clarify this question of ours through your instructions to the jury, whether we have the right to even consider this.

Lawre I Dec. Foreman